## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

EDWARD VAN HORN, #49115-019,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-11880 DISTRICT JUDGE GEORGE CARAM STEEH MAGISTRATE JUDGE MARK A. RANDON

JAMES WALTON, et al.,

Defendants.

## ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

The plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se*, has filed a motion for appointment of counsel. "[A]ppointment of counsel in a civil case is . . . a matter within the discretion of the court. It is a privilege and not a right." *Childs v. Pellegrin*, 822 F.2d 1382, 1384 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987)(quoting *United States v. Madden*, 352 F.2d 792, 793 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1965)); see also *Hoggard v. Purkett*, 29 F.3d 469, 471 (8<sup>th</sup> cir. 1994) ("In exercising its discretion, the district court should consider the legal complexity of the case, the factual complexity of the case, and the [plaintiff's] ability to investigate and present his claims, along with any other relevant factors.")

In this case, the interests of justice do not require appointment of counsel at this time. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

s/Mark A. Randon
MARK A. RANDON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: June 15, 2012

## Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served on the parties of record on this date, June 15, 2012, electronically.

s/Melody R. Miles
Case Manager to Magistrate Judge Mark A. Randon